


CAADP



CAADP Donors and Partners Meeting

M&E and peer review

September 8, 2009
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia



PARTNERSHIPS
IN SUPPORT
OF CAADP

Preamble

- M&E and per review mechanism framework has been developed by ReSAKSS
- Needs to be validated by a cross section of stakeholders
- Inter-pillar implementation guide has been developed and brings together the quality requirements for CAADP in country



Purpose of M&E and peer review

- Purpose:
 - Track progress towards CAADP implementation
 - Support mutual learning, peer and progress reviews at continental, regional and country level
 - Quality assurance (e.g. engagement, compact, investments, programmes)
- With stakeholder involvement in M&E and peer review including Farmers' Organisations and private sector



Mutual Accountability Measures

Continental level REC, AU, NEPAD & Farmers’ Organisations	Continental & Global mutual accountability CAADP PP, APF G8
<p>10% Budget tracking 6% growth in agricultural production</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Commitments •Mutual review •Aid effectiveness •Reduced duplication of DP effort •DP harmonisation •Congruence between intentions and results at DP HQ and country level • CAADP Alignment



Reporting 2 times a year at CAADP PPs

- Country, REC and Continental
- Measures:
 - Input
 - Process
 - Output
- Form:
 - Quality and quantity
 - Magnitude of policy, institutional and organizational change
- Presented as:
 - As comparative bar charts, score charts, barometers etc



Peer review-Yet to be developed

- Self-reflection
- Mutual Learning
- Best Practices
- Reporting
 - Commitments, expenditure, efficiency and effectiveness to stakeholders

Other considerations:

- Incentives (eg prize for agricultural innovation)
- Score card reports



Continental & Regional Indicators

- Input indicators e.g. budget tracking and share of expenditure
- Process indicators e.g. no of compacts signed
- Output indicators e.g. 6% growth rate
- CAADP goals: e.g. halving poverty



Country measures

- Input indicators (e.g. 10% budget commitment)
- Process indicators (e.g. government buy-in, inclusiveness)
- Output indicators (e.g. 6% increase in agricultural production, efficiency and effectiveness of resources)



E.g. of quality checks drawn from the pillars

- Was the competitiveness of markets raised? (Pillar 2)
- Did investments in infrastructure lower the cost of supply? (Pillar 2)
- Were value chains and access to financial markets developed? (Pillar 2)
- Were capabilities of farmer organisations and trade associations strengthened? (Pillar 2)
- Was risk management improved? (Pillar 3)
- Did the quality of diets improve through diversification of food? (Pillar 3)
- Did agricultural productivity improve? (Pillar 4)
- Are research curricula responsive to development needs? (Pillar 4)



What support is needed?

- Capacity for generating data at country level, analysis and M&E
- Establishing/strengthening national policy and knowledge system hubs => coordination and ReSAKKS => NEPAD information hub => public access => policy, technical and best practice briefs and reports
- Country infrastructure, capacity and systems for M&E on CAADP overall and pillar progress tracking



Support cont:

- Technical support unit & expertise at Secretariat for peer review and support to prepare reporting for PP and on-going M&E
- Continental stakeholder (with DPs) consultation to validate M&E and peer mechanisms
- Strengthen the capacity of Farmers' Organizations to understand and use M&E outputs as a tool for advocacy with government
- Peer review mechanism to be developed

