Donor support flows to CAADP

Analysis of existing donor commitments in September 2016 by way of example of 7 donors
Background and recap

2014 first picture of CAADP support flows comprising 14 DP

Objectives still valid

- Obtain a global view of support,
- Identify gaps, avoid effort duplication,
- Better coordinate between DPs,
- Support programme planning and design,
- Feed a dialogue with African counterparts

Suggestions to improve the system and make it more practical
Methodology

- Elaboration of a comprehensive excel sheet, so far filled in by EU KOM, JICA, Can, DfID, IFAD, BMZ, BMGF

- Focus on continental and regional level:
  a simple grouping of countries in a specific region is not considered as a regional intervention. Regional institutions or regional specific topics have to be explicitly addressed to qualify for “regional”. Same applies for continental level.

- Screening and subsequent reduction of the criteria in regard to their feasibility and operational relevance

- Harmonisation of the criteria with those of the African Partners as to make them comparable and matchable with their needs

- Inclusion of future commitments
### Information sampled

1. **Name of donor**
2. **Name of action/project**
3. **Amount** committed (EUR/USD/any other)
4. **Period of funding** (start and end)
5. **Support instrument** (TA, grant, loan, basket)
6. **Name partner institution/beneficiary**
7. **Category partner institution** (public-political, research, capacity development, civil society, Farmers’ organisation, other private sector, finance)
8. **Level of partner institution** (continental, regional, national, all)
9. **Geographical focus**
10. **Pilot countries**, if applicable
11. **CAADP alignment (A and B)**
12. **Thematic area** corresponding to CAADP Results Framework, level 2 agric. production/ productivity, markets/trade, agro-industry/value-chains, environment/natural resources
CAADP alignment

due to feasibility concerns, categories have been reduced from 4 to 2

**Category A:** direct support to core CAADP institutions (continental and regional level/RF level 3 outputs) as AUC-DREA, NPCA, REC

**Category B:** Technical programmes in support of RF level 2 outputs (i.e. NAIP implementation), not necessarily passing through core CAADP institutions, but contributing to formulated CAADP goals/Malabo commitments
Analysis

1. Average yearly amount of commitment
2. Average run-time of commitment
3. Support to CAADP category A vs. category B
4. Support according to level of intervention (regional/continental)
5. Support along thematic areas
6. Support along geographical areas
7. Support instruments
### Estimation Donor Commitment for CAADP - on going (committed in 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Name of Action</th>
<th>Amount (EUR)</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Running Period</th>
<th>Support Instrument</th>
<th>Support Instrument Name</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Category Name</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Level Name</th>
<th>Thematic Area</th>
<th>Thematic Area Name</th>
<th>Institutional Support</th>
<th>Institutional Support Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>African Union Support programme III/ Agriculture part</td>
<td>3 706 000</td>
<td>926 500 €</td>
<td>01/07/2016</td>
<td>01/07/2020</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>African Union Commission</td>
<td>Public-political</td>
<td>Continental</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Several them. Areas</td>
<td>CAADP Alignment</td>
<td>CAADP RF/2</td>
<td>CAADP RF/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>VETGOV-1- Reinforcing Veterinary Governance in Africa</td>
<td>25 036 000 €</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>01/01/2012</td>
<td>31/12/2016</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>IBAR</td>
<td>Public-political; Capacity Development</td>
<td>Continental</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Several them. Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>VETGOV-2- Support to Office International des Epizooties / World Organisation for Animal Health (to support the veterinary governance in Africa)</td>
<td>3 028 000 €</td>
<td>605 600 €</td>
<td>01/01/2012</td>
<td>31/12/2016</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>IBAR</td>
<td>Public-political; Capacity Development</td>
<td>Continental</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Several them. Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>VETGOV-3- Veterinary governance consists of a consolidated legislative framework establishing mandate and role of veterinary services and including means to apply and respect it. This, in many cases, means a need for institutional reforms, including drafting a clear animal health policy, strategy and their legal enforcement, which this programme will help to facilitate by tackling the prevailing obstacles. This is a necessary investment especially because veterinary services are considered as a global public goods</td>
<td>1 386 000 €</td>
<td>277 200 €</td>
<td>01/01/2012</td>
<td>31/12/2016</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>IBAR</td>
<td>Public-political; Capacity Development</td>
<td>Continental</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Several them. Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
average yearly amount of commitment

339 880 344 €
100 different action streams

average run-time of commitment:

5.2 years

maximal run-time 16 years/IFAD loan;
minimal run-time: 3 years (mostly TA)
Support instruments

CAADP support according credit or grant

- 59% credit
- 41% grant

3.5% of funding is pooled (basket)

credit: IFAD loan
Support according to CAADP categories

CAADP Alignment A
5%
122,396,102 EUR

CAADP Alignment B
95%
2,397,174,413 EUR
Support according to levels of intervention

CAADP support flows according to intervention levels

- National: 2 015 567 663 EUR, 49 projects
- Continental: 221 859 799 EUR, 30 projects
- Regional: 282 143 053 EUR, 21 projects
Support according to geographical areas

- Africa
- East
- West Central
- South
Support according to thematic areas according to CAADP results framework Level 2 in the CAADP results framework 2015-25

support along thematic areas

- agricultural production
- agro-industry- VC
- environment/natural resources
- resilience
- markets and trade
- several thematic areas
Performance areas and results areas of AU CAADP-Malabo Business Plan according to Malabo commitments

**Performance Area 1: Commitment to CAADP Process**
**Performance Area 2: Investment Finance in Agriculture**
**Performance Area 3: Ending Hunger**
**Performance Area 4: Eradicating Poverty through Agriculture**
**Performance Area 5: Intra-African Trade in Agriculture Commodities**
**Performance Area 6: Resilience to Climate Variability**
**Performance Area 7: Mutual Accountability for Actions and Results**
Conclusion and way forward

• Not yet a comprehensive picture on support flows

• Easy to handle instrument, but in certain cases processes within our institutions to get the data compiled might be complex

• Categories to match with African partners have still to be defined

• “New commitments not yet contracted” only partly available, but will be significant for timely cooperation talks

• Only few pooled funding – new challenges for DPCG?

• Is the mapping worthwhile? How to continue?
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